

ARRL Periodicals Archive – Search Results A membership benefit of ARRL and the ARRL Technical **Information Service**

ARRL Members: You may print a copy for personal use. Any other use of the information requires permission (see Copyright/Reprint Notice below).

Need a higher quality reprint or scan? Some of the scans contained within the periodical archive were produced with older imaging technology. If you require a higher quality reprint or scan, please contact the ARRL Technical Information Service for assistance. Photocopies are \$3 for ARRL members, \$5 for nonmembers. For members, TIS can send the photocopies immediately and include an invoice. Nonmembers must prepay. Details are available at www.arrl.org/tis or email photocopy@arrl.org.

QST on CD-ROM: Annual CD-ROMs are available for recent publication years. For details and ordering information, visit www.arrl.org/qst.

Non-Members: Get access to the ARRL Periodicals Archive when you join ARRL today at www.arrl.org/join. For a complete list of membership benefits, visit www.arrl.org/benefits.

Copyright/Reprint Notice

In general, all ARRL content is copyrighted. ARRL articles, pages, or documents-printed and online--are not in the public domain. Therefore, they may not be freely distributed or copied. Additionally, no part of this document may be copied, sold to third parties, or otherwise commercially exploited without the explicit prior written consent of ARRL. You cannot post this document to a Web site or otherwise distribute it to others through any electronic medium.

For permission to quote or reprint material from ARRL, send a request including the issue date, a description of the material requested, and a description of where you intend to use the reprinted material to the ARRL Editorial & Production Department: permission@arrl.org.

QST Issue: Oct 1984

Title: Full-Wave Delta Loop At Low Height, The

Author: Doug DeMaw, W1FB

Click Here to Report a Problem with this File



ARRL's popular journals are available on a compact, fullysearchable CD-ROM. Every word and photo published throughout the year is included!

- **QST** The official membership journal of ARRL
- NCJ National Contest Journal
- **QEX** Forum for Communications **Experimenters**

SEARCH the full text of every article by entering titles, call signs, names—almost any word. SEE every word, photo (including color images), drawing and table in technical and general-interest features, columns and product reviews, plus all advertisements. PRINT what you see, or copy it into other applications.

System Requirements: Microsoft Windows™ and Macintosh systems, using the industry standard Adobe Acrobat Reader® (included).

ARRL Periodicals on CD-ROM \$19.95* per set.

- 2007 Edition, ARRL Order No. 1204 ■ 2006 Edition, ARRL Order No. 9841 ■ 2005 Edition, ARRL Order No. 9574
- 2004 Edition, ARRL Order No. 9396
- 2003 Edition, ARRL Order No. 9124
- 2002 Edition, ARRL Order No. 8802
- 2001 Edition, ARRL Order No. 8632
- 2000 Edition, ARRL Order No. 8209
- 1999 Edition, ARRL Order No. 7881
- 1998 Edition, ARRL Order No. 7377
- 1997 Edition, ARRL Order No. 6729
- 1996 Edition, ARRL Order No. 6109
- 1995 Edition, ARRL Order No. 5579

*plus shipping and handling



You'll be surprised at the results you'll get from a full-wave loop at low heights.

By Doug DeMaw,* W1FB and Lee Aurick,** W1SE



roperty size and antenna-support height are ever-present concerns of the urban amateur. Many good antennas are untried because the radio amateur is unable to imagine how a large wire antenna could be squeezed onto a small lot. Certainly, this is typical in the case of full-wave loop antennas. But, there is no rule that dictates using a symmetrical loop. It can be distorted rather severely without spoiling the performance. The same philosophy is appropriate with regard to height above ground and the plane in which the antenna is erected. In most instances, a less-than-optimum full-wave loop will outperform a dipole or inverted-V antenna that is close to the ground in terms of wavelength. It is possible that such a loop will give comparable or better performance than a vertical antenna that is less than 90 degrees (with respect to ground), or one with a substandard ground screen.

We want to discuss the practical considerations of loops that can be supported from low supports on small pieces of property. The results we have obtained are noteworthy with respect to all-around "solid" communications within and outside the USA. Perhaps you will be inspired to unroll some wire and try a loop at your QTH.

Some Loop History

Loops were used first as receiving antennas. While single- and multiturn small loops worked well for receiving, they were not satisfactory for transmitting: They were inefficient in terms of gain, and the feed impedance was generally a fraction of an ohm, making them difficult to match. The losses were significant. But, it was possible to use a compact loop (less than 0.5 wavelength) for receiving in place of a full-size version that could require thousands

of feet of conductor. One of us owned a portable broadcast-band receiver in the 1930s. The loop antenna was stored in the lid of the cabinet, and needed to be mounted atop the radio during reception periods! The radio was heavy: It weighed 91 pounds, including the various dry batteries.

Receiving loops continued to be useful for many years in the commercial services, especially for LF and VLF applications. Amateurs also used them (and continue to do so) for improved reception on 160 and 80 meters. The signal-to-noise ratio of receiving loops is markedly better than that of vertical antennas, and they are directional. Many successful 160-meter DXers owe their success to the use of receiving loops with low-noise preamplifiers. Practically, these loops are the next best thing to Beverage antennas.

Loop Characteristics

What are some of the advantages of a closed, full-wave loop? Perhaps number i on the list is the lack of need for a ground screen. The matter of effective height above

'Notes appear on page 26.

ground is still a consideration, but we need not lay a ground-radial system as would be the case with a vertical antenna. Consideration number 2 is that a full-wave loop (depending on the shape) has some gain over a dipole. Number 3 relates to noise factor. A closed loop is a much "quieter" receiving antenna than are most vertical and some horizontal antennas.

To illustrate this point, the 160-meter antenna at W1FB is a 3/8-wavelength inverted-L with twenty 3/8-wave radials. Since this is essentially a vertically polarized antenna, it is noisy (man-made and atmospheric noise). There are times when an S9 signal is unreadable because of the ambient noise being S9 or greater in strength. Upon switching to the 75-meter Delta loop, the same signal will rise above the noise by 1 or 2 S units, while the noise and signal will drop well below S9. For example, the received signal may drop to S6 on the loop, but the noise will decline to S4.

Feed-point selection will permit the choice of vertical or horizontal polarization. Various angles of radiation will result from assorted feed-point selections. The system is rather flexible when we want to maximize close-in or faraway communications (high angle versus low angle). Fig. I




Fig. 1 — Various configurations for a full-wave Delta loop. Radiation angles and polarization are affected by the feed-point placement and location of the apex.

^{*}ARRL Contributing Editor, P.O. Box 250, Luther, MI 49656

^{**}Advertising Manager, ARRL

illustrates various configurations that can be used. The arrangement at C is used at W1SE, and the shape at D is being applied at W1FB. Both antennas are cut for 80-meter operation. The bandwidth at resonance is on par with that of a dipole. A Transmatch is used for matching the system to the transmitter in those parts of the band (75 and 80 meters) where the SWR is too high to deal with.

Our loops are not deployed in a vertical plane, owing to the lack of tower height. A 60-foot tower and 50-foot tree support the W1SE antenna. A single 50-foot tower is used at W1FB. Both loops are tilted away from the supports at roughly 45 degrees (Fig. 2). This shows the present W1FB system. The loop is broadside northeast and southwest for maximum radiation in those directions at 80 meters. More on this later.

When these low-to-the-ground experiments began in the summer of 1983, we were joined by Bill Martinek, W8JUY, near Traverse City, Michigan. Bill experimented with various loop configurations so that he and W1FB could make signal comparisons locally and afar. He finally adopted the W1SE format with the apex down (Fig. 1C, with the flat top strung between two 50-foot trees). In order to keep the loop completely vertical (not sloping), he chose a triangle that was not equilateral. The upper side of his triangle is substantially longer than the two downward sides. His signal on 75 meters is consistently 10 to 20 dB stronger than with his inverted V. The point of this discussion is that you need not use an equilateral triangle if it will not fit on your property. Erect whatever you can, then give it a try!

Feed Methods

A Q section is used for feeding the W1SE loop. A Q section is a quarter-wavelength line with an impedance that is somewhere between the antenna feed impedance and that of the feed line. Calculation is a simple matter:

$$Z$$
 (Q section) = $\sqrt{Z1} \overline{Z2}$ ohms (Eq. 1)

where Z1 is the antenna impedance, and Z2 is the feeder impedance in ohms. In this case, assuming approximately 100 ohms for the antenna feed impedance, we would have $\sqrt{100 \times 50} = 70.7$ ohms for the Q-section impedance. This represents a close match to 52-ohm coaxial cable. The Q-section length (made from RG-59/U) can be determined from L(feet) = 246 V/f(MHz), where V is the velocity factor of the coaxial line for the matching section. (The length should be verified using a dip meter.) For operation at the W1SE-chosen frequency of 3.825 MHz, the calculation calls for a Q section of 42 feet 5 inches (Fig. 3).

Open-wire feed is used at W1FB (Fig. 3B) to permit multiband operation through




Fig. 2 — A titled Delta loop for 80 meters is used at W1FB. The tower height is only 50 feet. Homemade open-wire line is used as the feeder to permit multiband use with vertical polarization and a low radiation angle.




Fig. 3 — At A is the feed method used at W1SE. A coaxial Q section closely matches the 100-ohm feed impedance to a 52-ohm coaxial line. Illustration B shows the W1FB feed arrangement. Open-wire line, a balun transformer and a short length of RG-8/U cable permit multiband use with a Transmatch. Ideally, the open-wire line would continue all the way to the Transmatch, and the balun transformer would be located at the Transmatch.

10 meters. Unfortunately, a short run of RG-8/U was needed to bring the feed line to the ham station — under the driveway. The coaxial cable was buried in the ground for this reason. A homemade 4:1 toroidal balun transformer (two stacked T200-2 Amidon cores and Teflon-insulated no. 14

wire) was enclosed in a weatherproof box and mounted on one of the support poles for the 450-ohm open-wire line. The RG-8/U was run underground from that location (about 25 feet). Ideally, the open-wire line would have been brought into the house, where it would be matched to the station gear with a Transmatch. Fortunately, the SWR at loop resonance is 1.3:1 without the Transmatch in use.

Performance

This is the part of our article that many of you have been waiting to read. Well, the W1FB results have been entirely gratifying. The loop replaced an inverted V with an apex height of 50 feet. This led to a pronounced improvement in all-around communications on 75 and 80 meters out to 500-600 miles. But, the loop proved to be very effective also for DX communications to Europe on 80 meters. The first version was that of Fig. 1B. Although the antenna was outstanding for close-in 75- and 80-meter work, it offered dismal DX performance. The configuration at D of Fig. 1 seems to offer a good compromise in performance for local and DX work. The theoretical launch angle to the horizon at the loop fundamental frequency is 10 degrees, as reported by VE2CV in a letter to W1FB. This assumes that the loop is erected vertically and at a reasonable height above ground.

Harmonic operation of the loop, as depicted in Fig. 1D, is superb. At times it outperforms the trap tribander atop the tower during DX operation to Europe and Africa. The loop shows an average 6-dB signal increase on 20 and 15 meters in the




Fig. 4 — Two methods for using a full-wave loop at half frequency. A switching arrangement could be applied at the feed point to change from a closed, full-wave loop to the first configuration seen here. The method at A performs as a 1/4-wavelength radiator, but a ground screen is required. Method B is satisfactory as a 1/2-wavelength open loop for half-frequency use. It requires opening the loop at the electrical point opposite the feed point. A relay could be used for this purpose.

favored direction, owing to the gain and lower radiation angle of the loop. Radiation at the harmonics is in the plane of the loop rather than broadside to it. This makes it ideal for contacts into Africa. It is perhaps the most effective 40-meter DX antenna that has been used at W1FB from northwest lower Michigan. The Transmatch is required on all harmonic frequencies other than 18.111 MHz, where WIFB has been conducting propagation studies with Bill Orr, W6SAI, Prose Walker, W4BW, Bob Haviland, W4MB and Stu Cowan, W2LX, under special experimental/research licenses (KM2XOV). The loop has worked very well on 24.9 MHz as well during these tests. At 18.111 MHz, the SWR is 1.4:1.

The operating results at W1SE also indicate that a tilted loop, close to the ground, functions quite well. With loop resonance at 3825 kHz, the 2:1 SWR points occur at 3734 and 3934 kHz, respectively. This 200-kHz bandwidth spectrum can be shifted up or down the band by lengthening or shortening the loop conductor and Q section accordingly. From the WISE location in Newington, the loop has delivered impressive performance for local and DX work.

A 40-meter Delta loop was constructed for use at WISE after noting the fine performance of the 80-meter system. It was cut for resonance at 7016 kHz. This model was erected in a completely vertical format, using 143 feet 3 inches of wire. The Q section is 23 feet 2 inches long. The apex (feed point) is 4 feet above ground. The SWR on 40 meters is less than 2:1 across all of the band. The 80- and 40-meter W1SE loops showed resonance slightly apart from the design frequency, perhaps because of the proximity of the antennas to ground. Resonance on 40 meters was checked as 7050 kHz. Both loops are performing better

for local and DX contacts than any of the many antenna types tested at W1SE. We would be even more impressed if we could elevate our Delta loops so the lower portions were a half wavelength or greater above ground.

In Conclusion

There is no rule that dictates the shape of a full-wave loop. The triangular format is convenient for mounting the radiator. If the apex is at the top, only one high support structure is needed. You may have one or more tall trees that can be used as supports. Circular, square or rectangular shapes have been used by many amateurs, and the results were good. Certainly, a loop is an impressive receiving antenna, in terms of noise reduction. In some urban locations, that may be more important than transmitting a "death-ray" signal! There is something to be said about the age-old expression, "If you can't hear 'em, you can't work 'em.'

An 80-meter Delta loop can be used on 160 meters by adopting one of two simple methods (Fig. 4). A closed loop does not, however, offer good results when the overall length is a half wavelength. Either of the techniques in Fig. 4 will work, but the method at A requires a ground-radial system for best results.

Notes

'kg = lb × 0.454.
'D. DeMaw, "Beat the Noise with a Scoop Loop,"

QST, July 1977, and "Maverick Trackdown," QST. July 1979.

1. H. Beverage and D. DeMaw, "The Classic Beverage, Revisited," QST, Jan. 1982. $m = ft \times 0.3048$; mm = in $\times 25.4$.

LAMBDA SEMICONDUCTORS SWITCHING POWER-SUPPLY-CONVERSION KIT

☐ A monolithic switching power-supplyconversion kit is available from Lambda Semiconductors. When operated from 25-V dc, this "Cooler" kit will deliver 5 V at 5 A, with 77% efficiency. Total noise and ripple is limited to 30 mV P-P.

The heart of the design is an LAS 6301 monolithic switching regulator in a hermetically sealed 8-pin TO-3 case. This contains a temperature-compensated voltage reference, sawtooth oscillator with over-current frequency shift, linear trailingedge pulse width modulator and doublepulse suppression logic, error amplifier and a 5-A, current-limited output transistor.

The kit contains a double-sided, silkscreened PC board, a hefty heat sink for the LAS 6301, and all necessary components and mounting hardware. Assembly is a snap. A pictorial board view and detailed photograph of the assembled unit help to identify parts and determine correct component polarities.

Alignment and testing are straightforward. A VOM is the only required test instrument, although photographs of oscilloscope waveforms are also provided by the manufacturer. These show circuit operation under various loading conditions, and may prove useful for troubleshooting. Assembly and testing take less than 2 hours.

The LAS 6301 is capable of output powers in excess of 100 W, but can be destroyed if the critical 25-V-input requirement is not met. Also, a reasonably constant output load should be maintained. (Sudden open- or short-circuited conditions cause severe electrical stress to switchingtype de-de converters.) Properly operated, however, this kit provides higher conversion efficiency than linear-type converters, and is smaller and lighter.

Further information on the "Cooler" kit or the LAS 6301 can be obtained from Lambda Semiconductors, 121 International Dr., Corpus Christi, TX 78410, tel. 800-255-9606. — Greg Bonaguide, WAIVUG

